Ex Ante

Maybe now we really have republished "the entire *Canadian Green Bag*," albeit in two parts, separated by seven years. But maybe not. We will wait awhile before making that claim again.

Second, in the same Winter 2004 issue, we went on to describe the *Canadian Green Bag* as a "remarkably complete failure":

Our research has uncovered no indication that any contemporary publication noticed either the creation or the expiration of the northern *Bag*. And no other law journal cited any of the articles in it for nearly a century. The first and so far only scholarly reference to the *Canadian Green Bag* appeared in a reputable Canadian law review in 1993.

And again it turns out that we were mistaken. A few moments spent on *Google Books* reveals that while it might still be fair to characterize our Canadian relation as a failure — even on the web there is no indication that it survived beyond its inaugural January 1895 issue — it is not fair to say that the "first and so far only scholarly reference to [it] appeared in . . . in 1993." *See, e.g.*, JAMES G. SNELL & FREDERICK VAUGHAN, THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA 276 (1985).

WAITING FOR WAYNE STATE

A nswers to the recent flurry of questions about what makes for a good law school could be coming soon from Wayne State University, which recently invited a *Green Bag* editor to weigh in:

##