



EX ANTE

OUR MISTAKES

Connor Sheehan, an attentive subscriber and a 3L at the University of Wisconsin Law School, notes “There’s an ‘It’s’ that should be an ‘Its’ in the fourth line of Penny McMahon’s introduction to *A Snail in a Bottle: What Happened Next*” in our Winter 2024 issue. Sheehan is correct. We are grateful to him, and apologetic to all our readers.

And in the same issue, on page 102, in the fifth line of the first paragraph, with an embarrassing lack of editorial style, we printed “flare” where “flair” was the correct word. Thanks to Rachel Davies for catching that one.

WHAT WOULD JOHN PAUL STEVENS SAY?

After mourning that “We will never know what might have been,” an article in our Winter issue speculated about what Justice John Paul Stevens, an expert in competition law, might have done or said if he had been a member of the U.S. Supreme Court in 1972, when it upheld the baseball antitrust exemption in *Flood v. Kuhn*. It turns out that Stevens – who served on the Court from 1975 to 2010 – did, in fact, tell us what might have been, but not until after he had retired from the Court. In a speech in Baltimore, at the Sports Lawyers Association’s 41st annual conference, on May 15, 2015, he said: